IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION No.1501 of 2005

Between:

Sri. Syed Asadullah, S/o. Late S.A. Hameed
R/o. Mancheriyal Town, Mandal, Adilabad District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Adilabad.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mancheriyal,
Adiabad District.
3 Shaik Ahmed Ali, S/o. Mahboob Ali,
R/o CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.
4 S. Sadanandam, S/O not known to the petitioner,
CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.
5 Prameela Devi, W/o. Lingaiah,
CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.
6 Sage Pocham, S/o. Lingaiah,
CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.
7 Gajeeli Shanker, S/o. Dodudu,
CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.
8 K. Lingaiah, S/o not known to the petitioner,
CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.
9 Sage Venkati, S/o not known to the petitioner,
CC. Nagar, Chunam Bhatti, Manchariyal Town & Mandal,
Adilabad District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to declare the action of the first respondent in not granting stay of all further proceedings against the order of the second respondent i.e., Revenue Diviional Officer Mancheriyal dt. 30.10.2004 in respect of the land Sr.No.476/1 admeasuring 6.15 acres of Village Garimella, Mandal, Mancheriyal and Adilabad and not deciding the appeal as illegal arbitrary and void and consequently direct the 1st respondent to consider the application for stay in the appeal for granting interim orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.T.GHORI

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.3 to 9 : None appeared

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the alleged inaction on the part of the first respondent-District Collector, Adilabad in considering the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the order of the second respondent-Revenue Divisional Officer, Manchiryal dated 30.10.2004 and also the application for interim suspension of the said order filed therein.

The petitioner states that against the order of the second respondent dated 30.10.2004 he preferred an appeal before the first respondent on 29.11.2004 along with an application seeking suspension of the impugned order, but the same has not been considered by the first respondent so far and no orders have been passed even on his application for interim suspension.

As can be seen from the material on record, the appeal, numbered as D4/1582/2004, was filed by the petitioner on 29.11.2004. In the circumstances, the first respondent ought to have considered the application for interim suspension filed by the petitioner and ought to have passed appropriate orders expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to dispose of the application for interim suspension of the order of the second respondent dated 30.10.2004 filed by the petitioner in appeal No.D4/1582/2004 within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order. No costs.

03.02.2005

SH

To

1 The District Collector, Adilabad.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Mancheriyal,
Adiabad District.
3 Two C.Cs to the G.P., for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT).
4 2 CD copies